All messages from thread

From: Kevin Tung (kev tung@ix.netcom.com)

Subject: Dictionary Object in the MSMQ message body

Newsgroups: microsoft.public.msmq.programming

Date: 1999/02/27

View this article only

Message 1 in thread

Hi, newbie here..

I've been trying to get this to work for sometime.. For my application, I need to send a collection of information from one machine to another.. I would like to be able to send a 'dictionary' object (built-in for ASP) as the body of a MSMQ message.. does anyone have any code for doing that?

In specific, I'm having trouble setting the message body to be the dictionary object before sending it..

Set objMSMQMessage.Body = DictionaryObj

VB tells me 'object required' ...

I must be mising a lot of steps.... does anyone have any tips for doing this

Thanks, Kevin

From: Ilan Caron (ilanc@microsoft.com)

Subject: Re: Dictionary Object in the MSMQ message body

Newsgroups: microsoft.public.msmq.programming

Date: 1999/02/28

Message 2 in thread

View this article only

Couple of things (this is a great idea btw):

- your dictionary object needs to support IPersistStream (pretty easy to do -- I think we posted a similar sample on the MSMQ PSS web page -- via www.microsoft.com/msmq)

- the assignment syntax should be: objMSMQMessage.Body = DictionaryObj i.e. use Let assignment as opposed to Set assignment. The reason is that the rhs object's contents are actually copied to the message buffer as opposed to a reference to object (which is what Set assignment is for).

Kevin Tung wrote in message <7b9sb5\$mgm@sjx-ixn10.ix.netcom.com>...>Hi, newbie here..

>I've been trying to get this to work for sometime.. For my application, I >need to send a collection of information from one machine to another.. I >would like to be able to send a 'dictionary' object (built-in for ASP) as >the body of a MSMQ message.. does anyone have any code for doing that ?

>In specific, I'm having trouble setting the message body to be the >dictionary object before sending it..

>Set objMSMQMessage.Body = DictionaryObj

>VB tells me 'object required'...

>I must be mising a lot of steps.... does anyone have any tips for doing this >?

>

>Thanks,

```
>Kevin
```

From: Kevin Tung (kev_tung@ix.netcom.com) Subject: Re: Dictionary Object in the MSMQ message body Newsgroups: microsoft public msmq.programming Date: 1999/03/01 View this article only

Thanks IIan !

To be more specific, the dictionary object is obtained from

Set dictionaryObj = Server.CreateObject("Scripting.Dictionary") 'from scrrun.dll

Changing the code as you've pointed out cause run-time error '430' Class does not support Automation or does not support expected interface..

I guess the dictionary object doesn't implement the IPersistStream method.

```
Ilan Caron wrote in message ...
>Couple of things (this is a great idea-btw):
>- your dictionary object needs to support IPersistStream (pretty easy to
>do -- I think we posted a similar sample on the MSMQ PSS web page -- via
>www.microsoft.com/msmq)
>- the assignment syntax should be: objMSMQMessage.Body = DictionaryObj
>i.e. use Let assignment as opposed to Set assignment. The reason is that
>the rhs object's contents are actually copied to the message buffer as
>opposed to a reference to object (which is what Set assignment is for).
>Kevin Tung wrote in message <7b9sb5$mgm@sjx-ixn10.ix.netcom.com>...
>>Hi, newbie here..
>>
>>I've been trying to get this to work for sometime.. For my application, I
>>need to send a collection of information from one machine to another.. I
>>would like to be able to send a 'dictionary' object (built-in for ASP)
>>the body of a MSMQ message. does anyone have any code for doing that ?
>>In specific, I'm having trouble setting the message body to be the
>>dictionary object before sending it...
>>Set objMSMQMessage.Body = DictionaryObj
>>VB tells me 'object required'..
>>I must be mising a lot of steps.... does anyone have any tips for doing this
>>?
>>
>>Thanks,
>>Kevin
>>
>>
>
```

From: David Goldstein (daveg@comanagecorp.com) Message 4 in thread

Subject: Re: Dictionary Object in the MSMQ message body

Newsgroups: microsoft.public.msmg.programming

Date: 1999/03/01

(please forgive this ad)

I am releasing a set of collection components for beta this week that support IPersistStream, are notably faster than VB's, have a richer API and in most cases consume less memory. Included among these are a StringArray, LongArray, ValueArray, Dictionary, and ValueMap.

You will find that the Collection and Dictionary classes do not support persistence.

If you are interested, please send e-mail to: support@idcsoftware.com

and we will sign you up for this week's distribution. Our only fault is the lack of a nice help file... all the documentation is currently in Word.

The DLL is under 200K with no dependencies. Targeted price (to be sold *sometime* this year) will be in the \$79-\$129 range, but *active* beta testers will receive the final release for free.

- Dave Goldstein

>Changing the code as you've pointed out cause run-time error '430'
>Class does not support Automation or does not support expected interface..
>
>I guess the dictionary object doesn't implement the IPersistStream method.

From: Kevin Tung (kev tung@ix.netcom.com)

Subject: Re: Dictionary Object in the MSMQ message body
Newsgroups: microsoft.public.msmq.programming
Date: 1999/03/02

View this article only

Thanks again !!

```
Ilan Caron wrote in message ....
>I'm enclosing parts of a sample implementation of an PersistentDictionary
>object that implements IDictionary and IPersistStream. The IDictionary
>implementation in fact simply reuses the scripting dictionary implementation
>you mention below. Implementing IPersistStream amounts to providing
>definitions for:
>GetClassID, Save, Load, IsDirty, GetSizeMax.
>The enclosed sample basically is the class definition for CMSMQDictionary
>which implemented IDictionary and IPersistStream with a lot of "ATL-like"
>stuff removed (I originally wrote this in a primitive precursor to ATL --
>it'd be great if you want to take it and ATL-ify it).
>The current incarnation only supports dictionaries whose (key, value) pairs
>are variants of numeric or string types.
>Kevin Tung wrote in message <7bd96i$95k@dfw-ixnews9.ix.netcom.com>...
>>Thanks IIan !
>>To be more specific, the dictionary object is obtained from
>>Set dictionaryObj = Server.CreateObject("Scripting.Dictionary")
                                                                    'from
>>scrrun.dll
>>Changing the code as you've pointed out cause run-time error '430'
>>Class does not support Automation or does not support expected interface..
>>I guess the dictionary object doesn't implement the IPersistStream method.
```

>>
>>
>>
>>Ilan Caron wrote in message ...
>>>Couple of things (this is a great idea btw):
>>>- your dictionary object needs to support IPersistStream (pretty easy to
>>>do -- I think we posted a similar sample on the MSMQ PSS web page -- via
>>>www.microsoft.com/msmq)
>>>- the assignment syntax should be: objMSMQMessage.Body = DictionaryObj
>>>i.e. use Let assignment as opposed to Set assignment. The reason is that
>>>the rhs object's contents are actually copied to the message buffer as
>>>opposed to a reference to object (which is what Set assignment is for).
>>>
>>>Kevin Tung wrote in message <7b9sb5\$mgm@sjx-ixn10.ix.netcom.com>...
>>>>Hi, newbie here..
>>>>
>>>>>I've been trying to get this to work for sometime.. For my application, I

Read the rest of this message... (31 more lines)

From: Jim Sensel (sbox@sensel.com)

Subject: Re: Dictionary Object in the MSMQ message body
Newsgroups: microsoft public msmq programming
Date: 1999/03/03

View this article only

The Wrox Press book, MTS MSMQ with VB and ASP recommends using an ADO recordset. Is there a reason that you're not going that route?

Jim

From: David Goldstein (daveg@comanagecorp.com) Message 7(in thread	ĺ
Subject: Re: Dictionary Object in the MSMQ message body	
Newsgroups: microsoft.public.msmq.programming	
Date: 1999/03/03	

Recordsets are very heavy, from what I hear.

If you are already using a recordset, great! If not, it is far more appropriate to use a well-designed persistent collection.

Of course, according to your performance needs and app behaviors, the cost of using recordsets just because they are the closest thing you have to a "free" persistent collection may be irrelevant...

That's the big reason why I have built persistent collections for resale in the first place!

- Dave

©2002 Google